

# **Exploring the delays and characteristics of patients with recommended enteral nutrition support on the medicine units of St Paul's Hospital (SPH)**

*W. Lee<sup>1</sup>, J. Zheng<sup>1</sup>, B. Snow<sup>2</sup>*

*<sup>1</sup>Providence Health Care, Vancouver, BC, <sup>2</sup>Centre for Health Evaluation and Outcome Sciences (CHÉOS), Vancouver, BC*

**Introduction:** Delays in the implementation of enteral nutrition (EN) or tube feeding (TF) can prolong malnutrition in hospitalized patients. Limited EN research in polymorbid medical inpatients has resulted in a lack of strong guidelines for this population.

**Objectives:** To identify the time frame between the recommendation and implementation of EN in practice, explore the reasons for interruptions, and compare the characteristics of patients with timely EN implementation versus delayed.

**Methods:** An exploratory quantitative study with a retrospective chart review was conducted on 48 medical inpatient charts at SPH. TF implementation was considered delayed if achieved in >3 days for acute stroke diagnoses and >7 days for all other admitting diagnoses. For group comparisons, the two-sample t-test and the Fisher's Exact test were performed for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results:** 33% of patients were in the Delay group. The median time to TF implementation in the Delay group was nine days (IQR=8,11) compared to two days (IQR=1,4) in the No-Delay group. In the overall sample, 44% experienced acute cognitive change, 46% had communication difficulties, and 46% had no personal advocates. Fewer patients in the Delay group (44%) achieved successful TF implementation compared to the No-Delay group (81%) (p=0.0185). Less continuity of care, represented by more dietitian changes, was found in the Delay group (75%) versus the No-Delay group (41%) (p=0.029). The top interruptions were tube-related issues (primarily tube placement and dislodgement issues) and extended decision-making durations.

**Conclusion:** One-third of the recommended TF implementations were delayed. Suggestions for improving this process include enhanced tube-related education, inclusion of EN wishes in advance care planning, and consistent dietitian coverage. Further research evaluating tube-related issues, especially in patients with acute cognitive change, is recommended.

**Significance:** Timely EN in hospitalized patients is important to mitigate malnutrition and its associated risks.

**Funded by:** Providence Health Care Practice-based Research Challenge